| 1  |  |
|----|--|
| 2  |  |
| 3  |  |
| 4  |  |
| 5  |  |
| 6  |  |
| 7  |  |
| 8  | UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT<br>WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON                         |
| 9  | AT SEATTLE   |
| 10 | UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, )  |
| 11 | ) NO. CR07-264RSM<br>Plaintiff,  |
| 12 | ORDER DENYING v. DEFENDANT'S MOTION  |
| 13 | ) TO REVOKE ORDER OF BIRDIE E. BELLINGER, ) DETENTION                                  |
| 14 | Defendant.   |
| 15 | )  |
| 16 | THIS MATTER having come before the Court upon a motion by the Defendant to             |
| 17 | Revoke the Order of Detention, and based upon that motion, the record of the hearing   |
| 18 | before the Magistrate Judge, the affidavits submitted, the Magistrate Judge's Order of |
| 19 | Detention, and the entirety of the records and files herein, the Court FINDS and RULES |
| 20 | as follows:  |
| 21 | 1. The defendant has been charged by Indictment with Bank Fraud and Social             |
| 22 | Security Fraud. The government alleges that she was part of a large-scale fraud ring   |

- 1. The defendant has been charged by Indictment with Bank Fraud and Social Security Fraud. The government alleges that she was part of a large-scale fraud ring involving more than 10 individuals engaging in a series of fraudulent schemes including counterfeiting, identity theft, and bank fraud.
- 2. A detention hearing was held before the Magistrate Judge on July 24, 2007. Pre-Trial Services, after interviewing the defendant, recommended detention as did the government. The Magistrate Judge ordered the defendant detained.

23

24

25

26

27

28

- 3. On August 20, 2007, defense counsel provided additional information about her client's living situation and requested that the Magistrate Judge re-open the detention hearing. This motion was denied on August 24, 2007.
- According to the Pre-trial Services Report, the defendant has a lengthy criminal history going back to 1976. While many of her convictions were for misdemeanor violations there are several felony convictions for assault and assault with a deadly weapon.
- 5. The defendant has prior failures to appear, probation violations and multiple warrants for her arrest have been issued by various courts.
- 6. At the time of her arrest for the instant offense, the defendant was on active DOC supervision by the state of California. The address where she was living was not the same address approved by her supervising community corrections officer.
- 7. The defendant is associated with 12 alias names, 6 dates of birth and 5 social security numbers. She has a history of abusing drugs and alcohol and has active mental health issues.
- 8. Based upon a review of the evidence before the Magistrate Judge, as well as the evidence subsequently presented to this Court, the Magistrate Judge's finding that the government met its burden of establishing by clear and convincing evidence that no condition or combination of conditions which the defendant can meet will reasonably assure the appearance of the defendant and the safety of any other person in the community, was not error. Therefore, the defendant's Motion to Revoke Order of Detention is DENIED.

ARDO S. MARTINEZ

NITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

DATED this 17 day of September, 2007.

26

27

28